

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,071	12/30/2003	Jennifer Dean	145581-1	7947
43248 7590 01/22/2007 CANTOR COLBURN LLP - GE PLASTICS - SMITH 55 GRIFFIN RD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			EXAMINER	
			WOLLSCHLAGER, JEFFREY MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/749,071	DEAN ET AL.
Examiner TW	Art Unit
Jeff Wollschlager	1732

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1, X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ___ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. ☐ Other: .

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 15, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments appear to be on the following grounds:

- 1. Neither La Casse et al. nor Takahashi et al. disclose exposing a thermoplastic polymer article to an aqueous environment as claimed because La Casse et al. also teach a curing step. Further, exposing the article to atmospheric conditions does not meet the requirement of exposing the article to an aqueous environment.
- 2. La Casse et al. disclose various substrate materials upon which an anti-fog coating can be applied whereas the instant claims require the anti-fog additive be present in the aromatic thermoplastic, not as a separate layer.
 - 3. Tu discloses a coating solution not an additive.

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive for the following reasons:

1. As admitted by applicant, the anti-fog coating composition employed by La Casse et al. contains water. As such, the limitation of the claim to "expose" the article to an aqueous environment is met. The examiner notes the "comprising" language employed in the claim. Whether La Casse et al. employ an additional step of heating the aqueous coating does not change the fact that La Casse et al. still exposes the article to an aqueous environment and that the result of the exposure is improved fog resistance. Additionally, the examiner maintains the interpretation that exposure to atmospheric moisture meets the broad limitation of the claims. For example, the

examiner notes that claim 1 is further limited by claim 2 and that claim 2, recites, "water vapor". Additionally, it is noted that "water vapor" is also distinguished from "steam".

- 2. The examiner's interpretation of La Casse et al. is that the coating and the substrate together form the article. This interpretation is not precluded by the claims as currently presented and is considered to be reasonable by the examiner.
- 3. The coating solution employed by Tu is added to a substrate. As such, it is an additive. This interpretation is not precluded by the claims as currently presented and is considered to be reasonable by the examiner.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Wollschlager whose telephone number is 571-272-8937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00 - 4:45, alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,071 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ゴひ

Jeff Wollschlager Examiner Art Unit 1732

January 16, 2007

CHRISTINA JOHNSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER